	Odde 3.07 eV 03023 GRB - Bocument 31	1 1164 02/10/2000 1 age 1 01 0
1	Scott D. Baker (SBN 84923)	
2	Email: sbaker@reedsmith.com Jonah D. Mitchell (SBN 203511) Email: jmitchell@reedsmith.com	
3	David S. Reidy (SBN 225904) Email: dreidy@reedsmith.com	
4	REED SMITH LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000	
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-3922	
6	Mailing Address: P.O. Box 7936	
7	San Francisco, CA 94120-7936	
8	Telephone: 415.543.8700 Facsimile: 415.391.8269	
9 10	Attorneys for Defendant CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
14	RICHARD TRAVERSO,	Case No.: C07-3629 MJJ
15	Plaintiff,	CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
16	vs.	AUTHORITIES IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
17	CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC., and	ADJUDICATION
18	DOES 1 – 10,	Date: February 27, 2007 Time: 2:00 p.m.
19	Defendants.	Courtroom: 11 (19th Floor)
20		HON. MARTIN J. JENKINS
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

REED SMITH LLP

Plaintiff Richard Traverso's ("Plaintiff") motion for summary adjudication ("Motion") should be denied because it failed to provide proper notice, and, as a result, cannot be properly heard. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(a), a motion must be filed, served and noticed for a hearing no less than thirty five days after service of the motion. Here, Plaintiff provided notice of only twenty six days, and, as a result, his Motion should be denied. Moreover, because the hearing cutoff for dispositive motions is February 27, 2008, Plaintiff cannot cure this deficiency with a properly noticed motion.

On November 8, 2007, this Court entered its Pretrial Order setting the fact discovery cutoff in this action on January 31, 2008, and setting February 27, 2008 as the last day for dispositive motions. Doc. # 33. In order to meet the dispositive motion hearing cutoff of February 27, 2008, the last day to file a dispositive motion was January 23, 2008. Plaintiff failed to file a motion by that deadline. Instead, over a week after the deadline had passed, on February 1, 2008, Plaintiff filed his present Motion, setting the hearing twenty six days later on February 27, 2008. Plaintiff did not seek leave of Court to have the Motion heard outside the regular notice requirements. Nor did Plaintiff seek Defendant's consent. Instead, without leave of Court, Plaintiff unilaterally filed his Motion, coupled with a letter to the Court, acknowledging that notice was deficient, but claiming he was unable to complete the Motion until February 1, 2008.

The excuses Plaintiff offered in his letter accompanying his deficiently noticed Motion do not square with the facts. First, Plaintiff claimed he was unable to file on time because of the "overall shortened time for this unlawful detainer." In fact, however, on October 26, 2007, the Court rejected Plaintiff's request for relief from the scheduling order to have this unlawful detainer proceed on shortened time. *See* Doc. # 29 (denying Plaintiff's motion for relief from the scheduling order). Thereafter, in November 2007, the Court set the schedule with a dispositive motion hearing cutoff for February 27, 2008. Doc. # 33. In short, Plaintiff had plenty of notice and opportunity to file his Motion.

Second, Plaintiff claims that he could not file his Motion on time because discovery did not close until January 31, 2008. But, Plaintiff has known the discovery cutoff date since the scheduling order in November 2007 as well. Moreover, the only evidence Plaintiff relied upon in support of his

Motion consists of the exact same exhibits that he attached to his Complaint, which he filed eight months earlier, in June 2007. In other words, there was no discovery that impacted his ability to present his Motion.

Accordingly, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court deny Plaintiff's Motion.

DATED: February 15, 2008

REED SMITH LLP

/s/ David S. Reidy Attorneys for Defendant CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.